



Results Summary [Show All Pages and Questions](#)

[Export...](#) [View Detail >>](#)

Filter Results

To analyze a subset of your data, you can create one or more filters.

[Edit Filter...](#)

Total: 51
Visible: 51

Share Results

Your results can be shared with others, without giving access to your account.

[Configure...](#)

Status: Enabled
Reports: Summary and Detail

1. Overview

1. Your primary branch:

	Response Percent	Response Total
None - I'm an at-large member	0%	0
Asheville	3.9%	2
Brevard	23.5%	12
Bryson City	2%	1
Chapel Hill	9.8%	5
Charlotte	2%	1
Cherokee County	2%	1
Eden	2%	1
Franklin County	0%	0
Gaston Regional	0%	0
Greensboro	5.9%	3
Hendersonville	5.9%	3
High Point	2%	1
Jacksonville Regional	7.8%	4
Kinston	0%	0
Raleigh/Wake County	17.6%	9
Salisbury	0%	0
Sandhills-Southern Pines	3.9%	2
Statesville	3.9%	2
Tryon Area	0%	0
Twin Rivers/New Bern	2%	1
Wilmington	3.9%	2

Winston-Salem	2%	1
Other (please specify)	0%	0
Total Respondents		51
(skipped this question)		0

2. Please check all that apply to your current or past experience:

	Response Percent	Response Total
I have served on the branch board.	97.8%	45
I have held an elected position in the branch.	84.8%	39
I have been a branch president.	67.4%	31
I have served on the AAUW NC board.	45.7%	21
I have held an elected position in AAUW NC.	34.8%	16
I have been an AAUW NC president.	15.2%	7
Total Respondents		46
(skipped this question)		5

3. How many AAUW NC conventions or summer leadership conferences have you attended in the last five years?

	Response Percent	Response Total
None	25.5%	13
1-4	39.2%	20
5-8	19.6%	10
9-10	15.7%	8
Total Respondents		51
(skipped this question)		0

4. How many AAUW NC board meetings have you attended in the last five years?

	Response Percent	Response Total
None	39.2%	20
1-5	31.4%	16
6-10	5.9%	3
More than 10	23.5%	12
Total Respondents		51
(skipped this question)		0





5. How many cluster meetings have you attended in the last five years?

Response Percent	Response Total
-------------------------	-----------------------



None		27.5%	14
1-2		27.5%	14
3-4		35.3%	18
5 or more		9.8%	5
Total Respondents			51
(skipped this question)			0

3. More emphasis on committees




6. Create a Communications Committee whose members would (following the practice recommended by the Association) handle the duties now assigned to the bulletin editor, the public information chair, and the web manager.

		Response Percent	Response Total
Great idea.		54.3%	25
Good idea, but needs some changes or clarifications		28.3%	13
Good idea, but needs a LOT of changes or clarification		8.7%	4
Bad idea.		8.7%	4
Total Respondents			46
(skipped this question)			5

7. Create an Administrative Committee whose members would handle the duties now assigned to the Corresponding Secretary/Administrative Assistant/Housing, Cluster Coordinator, Historian, Technology Committee (except for web site management), and Bylaws Committee.

		Response Percent	Response Total
Great idea		40.4%	19
Good idea, but needs some changes or clarifications		40.4%	19
Good idea, but needs a LOT of changes or clarification		6.4%	3
Bad idea		12.8%	6
Total Respondents			47
(skipped this question)			4

8. Expand the Public Policy Committee (and, perhaps, rename it) to include the responsibilities of the equity (educational equity), international, and resolutions committees as well as state and federal public policy issues.

		Response Percent	Response Total
Great idea		65.2%	30
Good idea, but needs some changes or clarifications		19.6%	9
Good idea, but needs a LOT of changes or clarification		8.7%	4
Bad idea		6.5%	3

Total Respondents 46
(skipped this question) 4

9. Eliminate the Strategic Planning Committee and assign its responsibilities to the Executive Committee.

	Response Percent	Response Total
Great idea	61%	25
Good idea, but needs some changes or clarifications	14.6%	6
Good idea, but needs a LOT of changes or clarification	7.3%	3
Bad idea	17.1%	7
Total Respondents	41	41
(skipped this question)	9	9

10. Comments on grouping current officers into committees:

[View](#) **Total Respondents 28**
(skipped this question) 23

4. Support for co-chairs and officers

11. Change the bylaws to explicitly allow two people to be elected or appointed to one position and serve as a team in that position.

	Response Percent	Response Total
Great idea.	60.4%	29
Good idea, but needs some changes or clarifications	12.5%	6
Good idea, but needs a LOT of changes or clarification	6.2%	3
Bad idea.	20.8%	10
Total Respondents	48	48
(skipped this question)	3	3




12. Allow two people to share one position on the board, but word the bylaws so that they would also need to share a single vote at board meetings.

	Response Percent	Response Total
Great idea.	44.4%	20
Good idea, but needs some changes or clarifications	17.8%	8
Good idea, but needs a LOT of changes or clarification	8.9%	4
Bad idea.	28.9%	13
Total Respondents	45	45
(skipped this question)	6	6





13. Allow a committee chair to appoint a member of the committee to carry the chair's vote at the board meeting. [Branch presidents can do this now.]

	Response Percent	Response Total
Great idea 	76.1%	35
Good idea, but needs some changes or clarifications 	15.2%	7
Good idea, but needs a LOT of changes or clarification	0%	0
Bad idea 	8.7%	4
Total Respondents		46
(skipped this question)		5

14. If the bylaws were clarified to allow two people to share one position on the board, how should the policies be clarified with respect to reimbursement of expenses?

	Response Percent	Response Total
The reimbursement amount should be limited to the amount due to one person. 	38.6%	17
Both people should be able to claim full reimbursement. 	15.9%	7
View Other (please specify) 	45.5%	20
Total Respondents		44
(skipped this question)		7

15. Change the bylaws and the policies so that the nominating committee elects its own chair and that all other officers and committee chairs are appointed by the president with the approval of the executive committee. [The current bylaws say some are appointed by the president, some by the executive committee, and some simply appear.]

	Response Percent	Response Total
Great idea. 	52.4%	22
Good idea, but needs some changes or clarifications 	21.4%	9
Good idea, but needs a LOT of changes or clarification 	2.4%	1
Bad idea. 	23.8%	10
Total Respondents		42
(skipped this question)		9

16. Other comments on co-chairs or co-officers:

View Total Respondents	20
(skipped this question)	31

5. Changes for branch presidents

17. Change the bylaws so that groups of branch presidents (perhaps by cluster) elect representatives to the state board who would be responsible for communication between the board and those particular branches.

		Response Percent	Response Total
Great idea		45.5%	20
Good idea, but needs some changes or clarifications		25%	11
Good idea, but needs a LOT of changes or clarification		9.1%	4
Bad idea		20.5%	9
Total Respondents			44
(skipped this question)			7

18. Change the bylaws so that the branch presidents as a group elect 4-5 statewide (at large) representatives to the board. Those representatives would then divide responsibility for communication between the branches and the board.

		Response Percent	Response Total
Great idea		24.4%	10
Good idea, but needs some changes or clarifications		19.5%	8
Good idea, but needs a LOT of changes or clarification		7.3%	3
Bad idea		48.8%	20
Total Respondents			41
(skipped this question)			10

19. Instead of having all branch presidents on the board, change the bylaws to add 4-5 members ELECTED AT THE STATE CONVENTION who would be responsible for communication with the branches.

		Response Percent	Response Total
Great idea.		22.5%	9
Good idea, but needs some changes or clarification.		20%	8
Good idea, but needs a LOT of changes or clarification.		7.5%	3
Bad idea.		50%	20
Total Respondents			40
(skipped this question)			11

20. Instead of having all branch presidents on the board, change the bylaws to add 4-5 APPOINTED board members to be responsible for communication with the branches.

		Response Percent	Response Total
Great idea		14.6%	6
Good idea, but needs some changes or clarifications		22%	9
Good idea, but needs a LOT of changes or clarification		0%	0
Bad idea		63.4%	26
Total Respondents			41
(skipped this question)			9

21. Other comments on how to improve communication between the branch presidents and the rest of the state board:

[View](#) **Total Respondents** **26**
(skipped this question) **25**

6. Changes to Clusters

22. From your point of view, why are clusters important?

	Most important		Least important		Response Average
They encourage social interaction and offer a chance to get to know other AAUW members in our area.	41% (15)	16% (6)	22% (8)	22% (8)	2.24
They allow us to sponsor programs that would be difficult to arrange for a single branch.	14% (5)	20% (7)	26% (9)	40% (14)	2.91
They encourage sharing of good ideas.	19% (6)	55% (17)	26% (8)	0% (0)	2.06
They provide a forum to discuss issues that are critical to AAUW NC and the Association.	47% (17)	17% (6)	19% (7)	17% (6)	2.06
Total Respondents					44
(skipped this question)					7

23. Should AAUW NC make clusters a more formal part of the organization by incorporating the concept in the bylaws?

	Response Percent	Response Total
Yes 	63.8%	30
No 	36.2%	17
Total Respondents		47
(skipped this question)		4

24. Other comments on clusters:

[View](#) **Total Respondents** **29**
(skipped this question) **22**

7. Other changes in governance

25. Change the bylaws to extend voting privileges at convention to all members of AAUW NC committees (following the Association practice).

	Response Percent	Response Total
Great idea. 	56.5%	26
Good idea, but needs some changes or clarifications 	17.4%	8
Good idea, but needs a LOT of changes or clarification 	2.2%	1
Bad idea. 	23.9%	11

Total Respondents 46
(skipped this question) 5

26. Change the bylaws to allow branch presidents to name a proxy to carry their vote to convention. [The bylaws allow this for board meetings, but not for convention.]

	Response Percent	Response Total
Great idea 	86.7%	39
Good idea, but needs some changes or clarifications 	6.7%	3
Good idea, but needs a LOT of changes or clarification 	0%	0
Bad idea 	6.7%	3
Total Respondents	45	45
(skipped this question)	6	6

27. Comments on these changes:

Total Respondents 15
(skipped this question) 36

8. Final Comments

28. Please add any additional comments on ideas for restructuring AAUW NC.

Total Respondents 18
(skipped this question) 33

29. Your name:

Total Respondents 33
(skipped this question) 18

30. Your e-mail address:

Total Respondents 32
(skipped this question) 19

31. Your phone number:

Total Respondents 27
(skipped this question) 24

[SurveyMonkey is Hiring!](#) | [Privacy Statement](#) | [Contact Us](#) | [Logout](#)

Copyright ©1999-2004 SurveyMonkey.com. All Rights Reserved.
 No portion of this site may be copied without the express written consent of SurveyMonkey.com.