



## Open-Ended Results Detail

[<< Back](#) [Export...](#)

### Filter Results

To analyze a subset of your data, you can create one or more filters.

[Edit Filter...](#)**Total:** 51**Visible:** 51

### Share Results

Your results can be shared with others, without giving access to your account.

[Configure...](#)**Status:** Enabled**Reports:** Summary and DetailPage Size: Show 50 per page 

Displaying 1 - 20 of 20

[<<](#) [>>](#)  [Go](#)

If the bylaws were clarified to allow two people to share one position on the board, how should the policies be clarified with respect to reimbursement of expenses?

- [1.](#) reimburse the person who incurs the expenses
- [2.](#) Reimburse the person who attends the meeting.
- [3.](#) If only one of them attends the meeting they should receive the amount due one person and if both are there, they share the amount due one person.
- [4.](#) Don't quite know how to reimburse that's fair yet. Needs more discussion
- [5.](#) In our branch we have had co-presidents only when nobody would take the office otherwise. Not too efficient but a good emergency measure to get us over a bump.
- [6.](#) The persons sharing the position could decide between themselves who will attend a particular meeting. The rules could state that they would alternate their attendance to meetings except the state convention.
- [7.](#) This should be decided on a case by case basis by the treasurer and president. We think there is a need to be flexible.
- [8.](#) otherwise you'd be doubling expenses. They could alternate trips to the Board meetings.
- [9.](#) Prefer to limit to 1 person, but make arrangements for sometimes reimbursing 2 people.
- [10.](#) The two people should take turns representing the position at a meeting, and therefore be reimbursed for that time. (One person per time.)
- [11.](#) As I remember the nominating committee has trouble enough finding one person for each job let alone two.
- [12.](#) specify amount for one attendee, or for two
- [13.](#) 11. The operative word is "allow." It should not be mandated. 12. One person can give the reports at Board Meetings - they may alternate or decide which person. 14. I am not really sure, since I suggested a regrouping of the committees. I tend toward reimbursement for one person to be shared between two. Co-chairs and Co-officers are helpful if the job is very demanding.
- [14.](#) and then let them decide if they want to split that amount
- [15.](#) Reimbursement should be available to only one person; co-persons should alternate attendance or select the person most likely to travel to meetings.
- [16.](#) This question should be referred to the finance committee once it becomes clear whether or not using co-chairs of some committees and eliminating other positions would affect the number of people eligible for reimbursement. A whole new way of looking at that might be needed.

- 17.** Two people might share the duties but only one should attend board meetings and be reimbursed. The Board representation can alternate between the two.
- 18.** Reimburse in pro rata manner using the average of the two claims.
- 19.** Scrap the Board
- 20.** If the budget permits pay expenses for both. Otherwise both would share one person's limit.

Page Size: Show 50 per page

Displaying 1 - 20 of 20

[SurveyMonkey is Hiring!](#) | [Privacy Statement](#) | [Contact Us](#) | [Logout](#)

Copyright ©1999-2004 SurveyMonkey.com. All Rights Reserved.  
No portion of this site may be copied without the express written consent of SurveyMonkey.com.